

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,412	09/05/2006	Georg Bogner	5367-192PUS	5613	
27799 7590 03/25/2008 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			DUNWIDDIE, MEGHAN K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2875		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/551,412	BOGNER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
MEGHAN K. DUNWIDDIE	2875

	MEGHAN K. DUNWIDDIE	2875					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be swillable under the provisions of 37 CFR 113(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply is specified above, the measurem statutory period will apply and will coper SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with reply and will coper SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply with gradient produced the specific of the communication of the specified of the communication. Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply with gradient period for the specified of the communication.							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
A							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🖾 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) 🖾 All b) 🗌 Some * c) 🗀 None of: 1. 🖾 Certified copies of the priority documents 2. 🗀 Certified copies of the priority documents 3. 🗀 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO.413)					

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5008)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/29/05 & 09/05/06.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is a Non-Final Rejection in response to the application filed on September 5, 2006 by **Bogner** et al.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which
papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

 The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on September 29, 2005 and September 5, 2006 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, and accordingly, the information disclosure statements have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-7, 29, and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alston (US 5174649) in view of Harbers et al. (US 6406172).

Art Unit: 2875

 Regarding Claim 1, Alston shows a headlight having a multitude of headlight elements. wherein each of the headlight elements comprises:

- At least one semiconductor chip which emits electromagnetic radiation and has a chip output surface through which electromagnetic radiation is emitted [Figure 1A: (101 and 102)]:
- A primary optics element, which has a light input and a light output and which
 reduces the divergence of the light which is incident through the light input, with
 the light being at least part of the electromagnetic radiation and/or at least part of
 a secondary radiation which is produced from the electromagnetic radiation
 emitted from said semiconductor chip [Figure 1A: (106)].

6. Regarding Claim 2, Alston shows:

A beam angle of a light beam which is emitted from the light output of the primary
optics element is between 0 to 60 degrees, preferably between 0 and 40
degrees, particularly preferably between 0 and 20 degrees, with the limits in
each case being included [See column 3 lines 68 -- column 4 line 1-2].

7. Regarding Claim 3, Alston shows:

 At least parts of the headlight outputs in at least one group are packed densely, and are preferably arranged without any gaps [Figure 1B].

Art Unit: 2875

8. Regarding Claim 4, Alston shows:

 The semiconductor chips are at least partially or at least in subgroups arranged like a matrix [Figure 3B: (101-104)].

9. Regarding Claim 7, Alston shows:

 The light output from the corresponding primary optics element is in each case the headlight element output [Figure 1B: (6 and 7)].

10. Regarding Claim 29, Alston shows:

 The semiconductor chip is a diode which emits electromagnetic radiation, preferably a diode which emits electromagnetic radiation and has and at least approximately Lambert emission characteristic, particular preferably being a thinfilm light-emitting diode [Figure 1A: (101 and 102)].

11. Regarding Claim 30, Alston shows:

 The diode is followed in the emission direction by a luminescence conversion material, which converts the wavelength of at least a portion of the electromagnetic radiation emitted from it [Figure 1A: (111-112)].

Art Unit: 2875

12. Regarding Claim 31, Alston shows:

The lighting elements are follower in their main emission direction by secondary
optics, by means of which the light emitted from them experiences a further
reduction in divergence and/or is mixed [Figure 1B].

13. Regarding Claim 32, Alston shows:

• The secondary optics are a condenser lens [Figure 1B: (110)].

14. Regarding Claim 33, Alston shows:

 The primary optics elements are formed integrally with one another [Figure 1A: (106)].

Alston does not show:

• At least one headlight element output, which emits a part of the headlight light from the headlight element, wherein at least some of the headlight element outputs are arranged in at least two groups in such a way that (a) the arrangement of at least one of the groups and/or (b) at least one overall arrangement of headlight element outputs of multiple groups corresponds essentially to a desired emission characteristic of the headlight, in that, in particular, it has a shape which corresponds essentially to the cross-sectional shape of a desired headlight beam, wherein the semiconductor chips which

Art Unit: 2875

belong to the headlight element outputs of one group can each be operated independently of other semiconductor chips.

16. Harbers et al. teaches:

- At least one headlight element output, which emits a part of the headlight light from the headlight element, wherein at least some of the headlight element outputs are arranged in at least two groups in such a way that (a) the arrangement of at least one of the groups and/or (b) at least one overall arrangement of headlight element outputs of multiple groups corresponds essentially to a desired emission characteristic of the headlight, in that, in particular, it has a shape which corresponds essentially to the cross-sectional shape of a desired headlight beam, wherein the semiconductor chips which belong to the headlight element outputs of one group can each be operated independently of other semiconductor chips [Figure 1B: (2-7)].
- 17. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the lamp of Alston with a headlight element output as taught by Harbers et al. for the purpose and advantage of having a headlight for use within a motor vehicle.

Art Unit: 2875

18. Regarding Claims 5 and 6 Alston shows the claimed invention as cited above, but does not specifically teach a headlight intended for use in a motor vehicle as specified in Claims 5 and 6.

19. Regarding Claim 5, Harbers et al. teaches:

• The headlight is intended for use in a motor vehicle and in that the arrangement of at least one first group of headlight element outputs and/or of multiple first groups together corresponds essentially to an emission characteristic of a lower beam headlamp, in that, in particular, it corresponds essentially to the cross-sectional shape of a light beam of a lower beam headlamp, and in that at least one second group and/or multiple second groups is or are arranged together in such a way that, together with the arrangement of the first group or of multiple first groups it or they correspond together or on its or their own essentially to the emission characteristic of a upper beam headlamp, in that it corresponds in particular essentially to the cross-sectional shape of a light beam of a upper beam headlamp [Figure 1B: (2 and 3) and Figure 2].

20. Regarding Claim 6, Harbers et al. teaches:

The headlight has multiple first and second groups, wherein only semiconductor
chips in some of the groups are in each case operated as a function of the
steering angle of the motor vehicle when using the headlight elements in the first
and/or the second groups, in such a way that the light beam which is emitted

Art Unit: 2875

from the headlight at least partially follows the direction of travel of the motor vehicle [Figure 1B: (2 and 3) and Figure 2].

- 21. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the lamp of **Alston** with a headlight as taught by **Harbers** et al. for the purpose and advantage of having a headlight for use within a motor vehicle.
- Claims 8-26, 28, 38-44, 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alston (US 5174649) and Harbers et al. (US 6406172) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hed (US 5727108).
- 23. Regarding Claims 8-24 and 25-28, Alston and Harbers et al. shows the claimed invention as cited above, but do not specifically teach the details of Claims 8-21 and 25-28
- 24. Regarding Claim 8, **Hed** teaches:
 - Each primary optics element is followed by an optical waveguide, preferably a
 glass fiber or a bundle with multiple glass fibers, with a light input surface and a
 light output surface, in the emission direction of the primary optics, into which at
 least the majority of the light which is emitted from the light output of the
 respective primary optics element is passed through the light input surface
 [Figure 9: (93)].

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding Claim 9, Hed shows:

The light output surface of the optical waveguide is in each case the headlight

element output [See column 14 lines 9-10 in reference to Figure 9: (109-112)].

26. Regarding Claim 10, Hed shows:

 The light input surface of each of the optical waveguides is directly adjacent to the light output of the corresponding primary optics element [Figure 9: (93 and

92)].

27. Regarding Claim 11, Hed shows:

The optical waveguide is in each case connected by means of a connecting plug
to the corresponding primary optics element, and/or in that the optical waveguide
is in each case fitted with the light input surface, by means of an adhesive, to the
light output of the corresponding primary optics element, and is connected to the

primary optics element [Figure 9: (93 and 92)].

28. Regarding Claim 12, Hed shows:

The optical waveguide is in each case connected by means of a connecting plug
to the corresponding primary optics element, and in that the multitude of
connecting plugs are connected to one another, or are formed integrally [Figure
9: (93 and 92)].

Art Unit: 2875

29. Regarding Claim 13, Hed shows:

The optical waveguide is in each case connected by means of a connecting plug
to the corresponding primary optics element, and in that the connecting plug is
formed integrally with the primary optics element [Figure 9: (93 and 92)].

30. Regarding Claim 14, Hed shows:

- The optical waveguide is formed integrally with the corresponding primary optics element [Figure 9: (93 and 92)].
- 31. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the headlight of Alston and Harpers et al. with an optical waveguide as taught by Hed for the purpose and advantage of directing the light emitted from the light sources in a particular direction, such as towards the headlight exiting surface.
- 32. Regarding Claim 15, Hed shows:
 - The light input has a light input surface or a light input opening, whose size is
 less than or equal to twice the chip output area, and is preferably less than or
 equal to 1.5 times the chip output area [Figure 9: (91 and input surface of 92)].
- 33. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the headlight of **Alston** and **Harpers** et al. with a light input surface

Art Unit: 2875

as taught by $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Hed}}$ for the purpose and advantage of concentrating the light emitted by

the light sources.

34. Regarding Claim 16, Hed shows:

• The primary optics element is in each case an optical concentrator, with the light

input being the actual concentrator output, so that light passes through this in the

opposite direction compared with the normal use of a concentrator for focusing,

and is thus not concentrated, but leaves the concentrator through the light output

with reduced divergence [Figure 1: (10)].

35. Regarding Claim 17, Hed shows:

• The primary optics element is a CPC, CEC, or CHC-like concentrator [Figure 1:

(10)].

36. Regarding Claim 18, Hed shows:

The concentrator has side walls which connect the light input to the light output

and are designed in such a way that direct connecting lines which run on the side

walls run essentially in a straight line between the light input and the light output

[Figure 1: (10)].

Art Unit: 2875

37. Regarding Claim 19, Hed shows:

 The concentrator has a cross-sectional surface in the form of a regular polygon, preferably a square cross-sectional surface, in a region on the side of the light input, and in that it likewise has a cross-sectional surface in the form of a regular polygon, preferably a triangular, quadrilateral, hexagonal or octagonal crosssectional surface, in a region on the side of the light output [Figure 4: (30) and

38. Regarding Claim 20, Hed shows:

Figure 5: (50)].

The concentrator has a base body which defines a cavity, whose internal wall is
reflective for the light emitted from the semiconductor chip and/or whose internal
wall is essentially provided with a layer or layer sequence, preferably with a
metallic layer, which is reflective for the light emitted from the semiconductor chip
[Figure 1: (17)].

39. Regarding Claim 21, Hed shows:

The concentrator is a dielectric concentrator, whose base body is a solid body
which is composed of a dielectric material with a suitable refractive index such
that light which is injected via the light input is reflected in this by total internal
reflection on the side boundary surface of the solid body, which connects the light
input to the light output, to the external atmosphere [See column 1 lines 43-44].

Art Unit: 2875

40. Regarding Claim 22, Hed shows:

The light output is a boundary surface of the solid body that is curved like a lens
 (Figure 9).

41. Regarding Claim 23, Hed shows:

• The light output is curved in the form of an aspherical lens [Figure 9].

42. Regarding Claim 24, Hed shows:

- The dielectric concentrator is provided at least partly with a layer or layer sequence, preferably with a metallic layer, which is reflective for the light which is emitted from the respective semiconductor chip [Figure 1: (17)].
- 43. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the headlight of Alston and Harpers et al. with an optical concentrator as taught by Hed for the purpose and advantage of concentrating and further directing the emitted light from the light sources.

44. Regarding Claim 25, Hed shows:

The concentrator is arranged downstream from the semiconductor chip in its
main emission direction, and in that there is a gap between the chip output
surface and the light input of the concentrator [Figure 9: (91, 92, and gap
between 91 and 92)].

Art Unit: 2875

45. Regarding Claim 26, Hed shows:

The gap is substantially free of solid or viscous materials [Figure 9: (between 91

and 92)].

46. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the

invention to provide the headlight of Alston and Harpers et al. with a gap as taught by

Hed for the purpose and advantage of making it possible for the light emitted by the

light sources to effortlessly enter the light input of the concentrator.

47. Regarding Claim 28, Hed shows:

The base body of the concentrator is composed of a transparent glass, a transparent crystal or a transparent plastic, and in that it is preferably

manufactured using an injection-molding and/or transfer-molding process [See

column 3 lines 10-19].

48. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the

invention to provide the headlight of Alston and Harpers et al. with an optical

concentrator as taught by Hed for the purpose and advantage of concentrating and

further directing the emitted light from the light sources.

Art Unit: 2875

49. Regarding Claim 38, Alston shows a headlight element comprising:

· At least one semiconductor chip which emits electromagnetic radiation and has a

chip output surface through which electromagnetic radiation is emitted [Figure

1A: (101 and 102)];

A primary optics element, which has a light input and a light output and which

reduces the divergence of the light which is incident through the light input, with

the light being at least part of the electromagnetic radiation and/or at least part of

a secondary radiation which is produced from the electromagnetic radiation

[Figure 1A: (106)].

50. Alston does not show:

At least one headlight element output, from which a part of the headlight light is

emitted from the headlight element.

Harbers et al. teaches:

• At least one headlight element output, from which a part of the headlight light is

emitted from the headlight element [Figure 1B: (2 and 3)].

52. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the

invention to provide the headlight of Alston with a headlight element output as taught

by Harbers et al. for the purpose and advantage of illuminating a roadway or surface in

front of a vehicle.

Art Unit: 2875

53. Alston and Harbers et al. do not teach:

The primary optics element comprises a CPC, CEC or CHC-like optical
concentrator, with the light input being the actual concentrator output, so that
light passes through said concentrator in an opposite direction compared with
normal use of a concentrator for focusing, and is thus not concentrated, but
leaves the concentrator through the light output with reduced divergence.

54. However, Hed teaches:

- The primary optics element comprises a CPC, CEC or CHC-like optical
 concentrator, with the light input being the actual concentrator output, so that
 light passes through said concentrator in an opposite direction compared with
 normal use of a concentrator for focusing, and is thus not concentrated, but
 leaves the concentrator through the light output with reduced divergence [Figure
 1: (10)].
- 55. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the headlight of Alston and Harpers et al. with an optical concentrator as taught by Hed for the purpose and advantage of concentrating and further directing the emitted light from the light sources.

Art Unit: 2875

56. Regarding Claims 39-41, 43, 44, 46, and 48-50 **Alston** and **Harpers** et al. show

the claimed invention as cited above, but do not specifically teach the details of Claims

39-41, 43, 44, 46, and 48-50,

57. Regarding Claim 39, Hed teaches:

• The concentrator has a cross-sectional surface in the form of a regular polygon,

preferably a square cross-sectional surface, in a region on the side of the light

input, and in that it likewise has a cross-sectional surface in the form of a regular

polygon, preferably a triangular, quadrilateral, hexagonal or octagonal cross-

sectional surface, in a region on the side of the light output [Figure 4: (30) and

Figure 5: (50)].

58. Regarding Claim 40, Hed shows:

• The concentrator has a base body which defines a cavity, whose internal wall is

reflective for the light emitted from the semiconductor chip and/or whose internal

wall is essentially provided with a layer or layer sequence, preferably with a

metallic layer, which is reflective for the light emitted from the semiconductor chip

[Figure 1: (17)].

59. Regarding Claim 41, Hed shows:

The concentrator is a dielectric concentrator, whose base body is a solid body

which is composed of a dielectric material with a suitable refractive index such

Art Unit: 2875

that light which is injected via the light input is reflected in this by total internal reflection on the side boundary surface of the solid body, which connects the light input to the light output, to the external atmosphere [See column 1 lines 43-44].

- 60. Regarding Claim 43, Hed shows:
 - The concentrator is arranged downstream from the semiconductor chip in its
 main emission direction, and in that there is a gap between the chip output
 surface and the light input of the concentrator [Figure 9: (91, 92, and gap
 between 91 and 92)].
- 61. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the headlight of Alston and Harpers et al. with an optical concentrator as taught by Hed for the purpose and advantage of concentrating and further directing the emitted light from the light sources.
- 62. Regarding Claim 44, Hed shows:
 - The gap is substantially free of solid or viscous materials [Figure 9: (between 91 and 92)].
- 63. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the headlight of **Alston** and **Harpers** et al. with a gap as taught by

Art Unit: 2875

Hed for the purpose and advantage of making it possible for the light emitted by the light sources to effortlessly enter the light input of the concentrator.

64. Regarding Claim 46, Hed shows:

The base body of the concentrator is composed of a transparent glass, a
transparent crystal or a transparent plastic, and in that it is preferably
manufactured using an injection-molding and/or transfer-molding process [See
column 3 lines 10-19].

65. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the headlight of **Alston** and **Harpers** et al. with the concentrator as taught by **Hed** for the purpose and advantage of using materials that are easily and costly when produced.

66. Regarding Claim 47, Alston shows:

 The light output from the corresponding primary optics element is in each case the headlight element output (Figure 1B: (6 and 7)).

67. Regarding Claim 48, Hed teaches:

Each primary optics element is followed by an optical waveguide, preferably a
glass fiber or a bundle with multiple glass fibers, with a light input surface and a
light output surface, in the emission direction of the primary optics, into which at
least the majority of the light which is emitted from the light output of the

Art Unit: 2875

respective primary optics element is passed through the light input surface [Figure 9: (93)].

- 68. Regarding Claim 49, Hed shows:
 - The light output surface of the optical waveguide is in each case the headlight element output [See column 14 lines 9-10 in reference to Figure 9: (109-112)].
- 69. Regarding Claim 50, Hed shows:
 - The light input surface of each of the optical waveguides is directly adjacent to the light output of the corresponding primary optics element [Figure 9: (93 and 92)].
- 70. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the headlight of Alston and Harpers et al. with an optical waveguide as taught by Hed for the purpose and advantage of directing the light emitted from the light sources in a particular direction, such as towards the headlight exiting surface.
- 71. Claims 27, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alston (US 5174649), Harbers et al. (US 6406172), and Hed (US 5727108) as applied to claim 16 and 38 above, and further in view of Thominet (US 6565247).

Art Unit: 2875

element in Claims 27 and 45.

72. Regarding Claims 27 and 45, Alston, Harbers et al., and Hed show the claimed invention as cited above, but do not specifically teach the details of the headlight

- 73. Regarding Claims 27 and 45, Thominet teaches:
 - The headlight element has one or more reflector elements which are arranged in such a way, and/or are of such a shape that some of the light beams which do not pass directly from the semiconductor chip into the concentrator are reflected multiple times on it and are deflected at a smaller angel, measured against the main emission direction of the semiconductor chip, to the light input of the concentrator (Figures 5-7: (45)).
- 74. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the headlight of Alston, Harbers et al. and Hed with reflector elements as taught by Thominet for the purpose and advantage of reflecting the emitted light towards an exit surface.
- 75. Claims 34-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alston (US 5174649) and Harbers et al. (US 6406172) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Thominet (US 6565247).

Art Unit: 2875

76. Regarding Claims 34-37, **Alston** and **Harbers** et al. show the claimed invention

as cited above, but do not specifically teach the details of Claims 34-37.

77. Regarding Claim 34, Thominet teaches:

. The semiconductor chips are arranged on in each one mount, on which they are

in each case surrounded by a frame to or in which the primary optics element is

fitted and by which it is held, and/or by which it is adjusted relative to the chip

output surface [Figure 5].

78. Regarding Claim 35, Thominet teaches:

• At least some of the mounts and/or the mount and the frame in each case are

formed integrally [Figure 5: (42 and 45)].

79. Regarding Claim 36, Thominet teaches:

The mounts of multiple semiconductor diodes are arranged alongside one

another, like rows, in at least one row [Figure 5: (42 and 40)].

80. Regarding Claim 37, **Thominet** teaches:

• The internal surface of the frame and/or free surfaces of that surface of the

mount which faces the emission direction of the headlight is or a re reflective for

light which is emitted from the respective semiconductor chip, and/or is or are at $\,$

least partially provided with a layer or a layer sequence, preferably with a metallic

Application/Control Number: 10/551,412

Art Unit: 2875

layer, which is reflective for the light which is emitted from the respective semiconductor chip [See column 5 lines 42-45 in reference to Figure 6: (45)].

81. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the headlight of **Alston** and **Harbers** et al. with semiconductor ships, mounts, and frames as taught by **Thominet** for the purpose and advantage of housing, holding, and protecting the semiconductor device and directing the light emitted by the semiconductor device outwardly.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MEGHAN K. DUNWIDDIE whose telephone number is (571)272-8543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571)272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sandra L. O'Shea/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2875

MKD